

Docket No.: M4065.0674/P674
(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:
Chandra Mouli

Group Art Unit: 2811

Application No.: 10/645,645

Examiner: Colleen Ann Matthews

Filed: August 22, 2003

Confirmation No.: 8786

For: HIGH GAIN, LOW NOISE PHOTODIODE
FOR IMAGE SENSORS AND METHOD OF
FORMATION

Allowed: June 16, 2011

**COMMENTS ON EXAMINER'S
STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**

MS Issue Fee
Commissioner of Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Applicant agrees with the Examiner's statement of reasons for allowance in that the prior art of record fails to anticipate or render obvious the claimed invention. However, Applicant notes that the Examiner's statement fails to address all limitations of all of the allowed claims. For example, certain claim limitations were not discussed in the Examiner's reasons for allowance, including those in the dependent claims, each of which defines a unique combination of features not shown or suggested by the prior art, providing additional reasons for allowance of each claim.

Applicant submits that the scope of the claims should be interpreted based on the actual language of the allowed claims, and no further limitation of the claims should be inferred from the Examiner's Statement of Reasons For Allowance.

Dated: September 15, 2011

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Thomas J. D'Amico

Registration No.: 28,371
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP
1825 Eye Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006
(202) 420-2200
Attorney for Applicant